




PSI-PHI  
NO. 7-DEC. '60

"Yes, Virginia, there is a Santa Claus..."



# SANS ITINÉRAIRE FIXÉ

—meanderings by Lichtman

## THE CASE OF THE MISSING CO-EDITOR

Arv Underman came visiting the other day. As a result of the visit, this issue doesn't have wrap-around covers. Neither does it have several pages of wit and humor by Arv Underman.

"Go do your tensile strength problems on someone else, kid!"

I had prepared about half a dozen masters by the time that Arv got here. These were all proof-read, illustrated and ready to run off. Arv took a look at them, then said, "You're the limit, Lichtman." He then launched into a monologue on how I was burning myself out. He finished with a "You're the limit, Lichtman," and repeated it several times for effect.

"Well, er," I countered, "here's that Bergeron illustration I said I was saving for your SAPSzine." I pulled it out of the envelope and handed it to him. He took a critical look at it and said, "Well, maybe I'll draw my own cover this time." He handed it back. Then he said, "You know, I started reading part of the SAPS mailing last night. I went through the mailing and picked out the zines I thought would be interesting, but dammit only one of them was." He said which one but I'll omit that because it might embarrass some people. It wasn't mine, anyway.

"Have you done any work on your SAPSzine yet?" I asked. He looked at me and said, "Hell, no." Then he said, "I think I'm going to quit SAPS. There's just nothing worth reading in the mailings, it seems." He continued, "I'll put out another zine or two, maybe one for the spring mailing, but then I'm going to quit it." Whether or not he will is a moot point. Arv has quit fandom several times.

"I wrote a letter to Bill Evans about FAPA credentials," he said. "I told him about SPIANATO (the title of Arv's SAPSzine—bl) but I didn't have a copy to send him. Do you think he'll accept that as credentials?" "Sure," I said, "he'll check with Dick Eney, like it said in the FA, and you'll be okay. If that doesn't work, he'll probably consider Psi-Phi as credentials." "FAPA," Underman went on, "is lots better than SAPS. Mainly, you don't have to publish so damned much. Eight pages a year is easy and there's good stuff in the mailings, too."

"But are you going to do an issue of SPIANATO for the January SAPS mailing?" I asked. "Well," Arv said, "I'll see about it. But like I said, there's not much worth reading in the mailings." He went on to say that he'd been reading stuff like Oedipus Rex and he was going to read some dull 900-page book, the title of which I forget, over the vacation. Then he spent a good deal of time telling me about people he'd met at Stanford, people who all sound like hyper-Burbees when it comes to telling humorous anecdotes.

Just before Arv had to go, I asked, "Are you going to write a couple pages of editorial for Psi-Phi this time?" "No," he answered, "I'm going to quit fandom. Except for FAPA, that is. You can have this issue of Psi-Phi all to yourself."





"Does that mean you're not going to be co-editor of Psi-Phi anymore?" I queried.

"Hell, no," Arv concluded, "I'll be back for the summer issue." As he walked off, he added, "But I'm quitting fandom anyway."

### COLLEGE GOING FAN

In the last issue, I gave a brief run-down of what college each LA'67 member was going to. I wasn't quite sure then what college Don Durward was going to, so I kidded about it. It turns out that he's with me at UCLA. As a matter of fact, he kindly (for a slight monetary consideration) transports me to and from school every day.

I have written for other fanzines stories of registration and pre-enrollment, though these haven't appeared in print yet. And I wrote a short descriptive essay concerning the Campus and Westwood Village for my N'APAZINE (KTP #4, in the March 1961 mailing). But I haven't written anything about what the classes themselves are like for publication, so I might just do that here.

This semester I decided to break into the college game easily and so I only signed up for 13 units. These are 4 units of French (to finish up my foreign language requirement forevermore), 3 units of English 1A, 3 units of Life Science, 2 units of Algebra, and 1 unit of Army ROTC. Next semester I have pre-enrolled for 3 units each of English 1B, Philosophy 6A, Calculus & Anal Geom 3A, Sociology 1, Life Science 1B, and one unit of Army ROTC. Whether or not I get those is indeterminate at the moment, but I expect I'll get all of them because I signed up for them as soon as I could. If I don't get one, I will get Political Science 1 (also known as Snap Course 1A; it's a Requirement-Filler) instead.

French class is somewhat of an anomaly. During high school French--the last two years of it anyway--the classes were loaded to the brim with pretty, pretty girls. The teacher was an amiable, quite nice middle-age lady, who used to keep us attentive by telling about things she did when in France. It took us a while to catch onto the fact that she was in France not just recently, but during the 1930s. But my point is, there were many, many pretty girls in the class and things were generally pleasant. My college French section is a horse of an entirely different color. Here there are 2 pretty girls out of a class with about 20 girls in it. And one of the pretty ones is the teacher! This sounds like sort of a "Father Knows Best" sort of situation, the thing you run across only in situation comedies on television, but here it is, and I'm rather enjoying it. Our professor is in her 30s, but she looks not a day over 20. Really. She's French, has a fine sense of humor, and occasionally gets off on the most amazing tangents in class. For instance, the other day we began reading Moliere's "Le Bourgeois Gentilhomme". But before we actually got around to starting the play in class, she conducted a 10-15 minute discussion on the sex habits of seventeenth-century French nobility. Too bad I forgot to take notes...



"All right, if you insist, I'll sell you a prozine from under the counter."

English 1A is also a rather weird class. Our TA started off the year in the right groove by saying that he didn't see how anyone in their right mind would subject

himself to a course in English lit. "I know I couldn't pass it if I had to take it over again," he admitted. Later on the first week he got to talking about various books, and mentioned he's once started to read Dianetics. "Is anyone familiar with the book?" he asked. I was the only one in the class to raise my hand and admit I'd heard of it, which resulted in my being asked by several people how they could get hold of a copy. The section I'm in seems mostly to be a group of poor writers. Like, I got the only A handed out so far this semester...it was on a critique of The Immortal Storm, of all things!

Life Science is an imposing name for a general biology course. This is the only course I have this semester that actually has a lecture section; all the others are small classes. Our professor has an interesting sense of humor. Often he will spend 20 minutes giving a highly detailed description of some insignificant function of an obscure fish or insect. Not long ago, though, he ran up against a snag in this sort of speaking: he was hard-put to get around using a rather vulgar term for the excretion of bass. Whether or not one need attend his lectures is a moot point. Someone once asked this, just before the first test. "Sure," he said. "If you don't attend the lectures you don't get to hear my jokes, and I make sure to ask you one of them on the test."

Algebra, despite the efforts of the TA, is somewhat boring. Come to think of it, it's because of the TA that it is. Rather than allowing us a little time to ask questions about the problems we've been running up against in our home assignments, he delights in spending much time each meeting proving this or that theorem on the blackboard. Usually these theorems he proves, tho, are ones that most all the class already knows. But this seems to be the College Way...



ROTC is at once a barrel of laughs and a pain in the neck. I'm in Army ROTC, mainly because the group I wanted to sign up for, which was Air Force ROTC, had a line about three times as long when I was enrolling. Now I'm rather glad I'm in Army, because Air Force is getting the raw end of a split program this time. One semester they have only half a unit, then they follow this with a 2 1/2 unit semester. Army, on the other hand, for the first year is one unit each semester. Next year it jumps to two per semester. I'm hoping that by that time the Regents of the University will have decided to make it voluntary rather than compulsory and I can quietly drop out. Those first two semesters we are subjected to one hour each of drill and class each week. In class so far we have learned all about the organization of the Army and also how to fire a rifle. On this last I am sorry to report that I am rather an indifferent shot, which will probably not be to my good when finals roll around. The Army has a habit of mimeographing many hand-outs and distributing them in class. I once set

I THOT I TAW A  
BUDDY TAT I DID FWT  
I DID...

part of my class into mass confusion by sitting down and beginning to read a copy of Boyd Raeburn's FAPazine, which just happens to resemble to a great degree an Army handout. In drill, where I get to wear a real uniform, goshwow, I usually manage to get things all messed up by not doing rifle movements quite right. Also, I find it damned hard to Keep In Step during parades. This could just be because one officer will start counting cadence and when I get in step with his count he will stop. This is okay for a while, and I manage to keep in step all right. But then another officer starts counting and I find he invariably starts with a "Left, right.. left, right..." when everyone else is following the other officer's count and is doing a "Right, left...right, leftt.." Confusion, it's wonderful. This sort of thing happened the other day, but by now I've gotten a stock explanation for anyone who says I'm out of step. I refer to the officer with the most authority and point out that I'm in step with him.



Between classes all us ex-Inglewood High types congregate at the Agriculture Library. More specifically, we meet in its ante-room. Why the Ag Library, you ask? Because, dear reader, it's the most deserted library in the entire school. There are v-e-r-y few agriculture majors around anymore and, in fact, next semester sometime the College of Agriculture is going to move out of UCLA entirely and we will be out of a convenient study-argue-chitternatter room.

Which is perhaps a good thing, because with many People You Know in the same room, much studying doesn't get done.

#### PSI-PHI's Very Own Gnurrserery Rhyme

I think the world will never merit  
The vegetable we call the carrot.  
The golden yellow phallic symbol  
Which makes the rabbits quick and nimble  
The leafy top, the munchy root  
Which you should never, at it, hoot.  
Poems are made by fools who dare it  
But only God could eat a carrot.

--- Calvin W. Demmon

#### ABOUT THE AUTHOR

The author of the poem to the left, that is. His full name is Calvin Warren "Biff" Demmon and he goes to school in Berkeley, Capital of the Fannish World. The poem arrived in today's mail, about the time I was composing page two of this editorial-thing, and I decided I might as well use it. I'd put it in the editorial off by itself, I figured. "I might as well use it," was about the way I put it. I said something else too, but I think you can guess what so I won't

quote myself here. Besides, quoting oneself is pretty ostentatious. It shows a good deal of conceit. But I never claimed to be modest, did I?

Back to the subject at hand... Calvin Warren "Biff" Demmon (to whom we shall refer as Cal Demmon, because it isn't so much trouble to type) is some kind of a fan. That is, he is a member of CRAP ("Not quite, just a half-member," he corrected me when I told him this when he denied being a fan) and he publishes in addition a weekly newsletter entitled \*SKOAN\* (the \*s are vital and it's a clever-obscure way of saying "Some Kind Of A Nut") which he says he is going to fold after the tenth (GiantXmas) issue because it's not fun anymore. Calvin is tall and he is rotund, but he is not as rotund as Bruce Pelz. At least it doesn't show as much. He wears glasses when he reads and other times when he forgets to take them off.

When first he got into CRAP as half of the joint membership of Jerry Knight and





Calvin Demmon, someone (I think I was Sylvia White) accused him of being a hoax because of the demon knight tieup in the two names. But I think Sylvia believes in him now.

The other day I was talking with Calvin for the first time since last September when he left for Berkeley. It seems that someone else noticed the tieup between Demmon-Knight and demon knight. In this case it was one of Cal's old "friends," Tom McCormick. Before Cal and Jerry came back down here for Xmas vacation, Tom went around telling everyone who knew Cal that Jerry and Cal had col-

laborated on a story and sold it to Galaxy. It's the demon knight story in one of the recent issues. So the first thing that Cal was greeted with when he got off the bus coming down was, "Did you really write that story in the latest Galaxy?" This was what his mother asked him. "Hello, son," she said, "did you write that story in the latest Galaxy?" Calvin had a pretty good answer for that. "No" was how he phrased it, since he has taken English 1A too and knows that he should be economical with words.

It seems that news of a budding young writer spreads pretty fast, because Cal said that nearly everyone he met asked him this question. "No" remained his standard reply. Then suddenly the questions took a different tack. "No?" Calvin would reply when asked if he and Jerry Knight had collaborated on a story for H.L. Gold's prozine. Then his inquisitors would counter with, "Well, why don't you???"

Calvin doesn't have any answer for that. He hasn't seen Tom McCormick since he got down here from Berkeley. After mentioning that I had seen him just the day before, I asked Cal what he was going to say to him when he saw him.

"I'm not going to say anything," Cal replied. "I'm just going to pop him one in the nose, that's all."

### DON'T BE, ER, REGULAR

I have a theory. "Each individual fanzine exists in its own space-time continuum." That's a pretty good theory which may seem obvious. But I'll tell you about it anyway, because it's My Theory.

Most of you, I presume, receive Boyd Reburn's A BAS. If you don't, then perhaps you get Terry Carr's INNUENDO. And if you don't, choose your own highly irregular fanzine to work from. GRUE, for instance. Or COFSLA. Or even SKYHOOK. PSL-PHI will do as a last resort.

Anyway, do you notice that when, once every year or so, you get an issue of one of these highly irregular magazines and sit down to read it, that you suddenly start remembering what happened in the issue before so you will understand the references that are made to that last issue in the current one? (If this weren't being written on masterset, you can bet I'd rewrite that sentence!) All of a sudden, things you hadn't thought about for months come to the front of your conscious and you know what is being discussed refers to something in the last issue.

Well, I do. (If you don't, then you are wasting your time reading this particular subtitle, and I'd suggest you move on to the next one.) Maybe I'm extraordinary, though

since Fans Are Cosmic-Minded (at least they claim to be), I doubt it. Jack Harness, if this isn't the case with you, I am going to be very disillusioned with Scientology. However, Art Rapp seems to be one fan who doesn't remember things very far back. I guess there are others, too, now that I think of it. Hell.

I had a theory.

HO, HO, HO, MERRRRRRY CHRISTMAS!

This issue of PSI-PHI is doubling as my Christmas card for this year, even though most of you won't get it until after Christmas. I appreciate the cards I've received in the mail (where else?) but I can't afford to do up a general mailing of Christmas cards to these and other people who deserve them. So this issue of Psi-Phi is the result. When I said "can't afford" up there, I meant really that it would be a waste of money. I can mail this issue for 1¢ less than it would cost me to mail a card to you. (On overseas copies, the savings is 4¢!) With the cover of this issue, it even looks like a Giant Xmas Card. Maybe this makes me eligible for the More Reading On Christmas Cards Movement.

People who complained about poor duplication in the last issue were quite right. The reproduction stank, and it was on account of a bunch of ditto masters that backfired on me. This issue ought to be a lot better in reproduction--and since I have done up most of the pages already, I know it is. As might be expected the articles by Singer, Speer and Ebert in the last issue drew a lot of comment, especially the Singer article which even got 2 articles in return. There isn't a lettercol in this issue but in the 3th number, which should be out next summer, we will present the most cogent comments received. We also hope to have our co-editor back. We miss him and hate like hell writing six pages of this issue ourselves, even if it is Fascinating and Witty. (And besides, we didn't have anything to fit in of appropriate length of nature.)

So. The very best of holiday greetings from me to you, and I'll see you next summer with issue #8, with lots of stuff in it held over from last time. OK? ok...

--Bob Lichtman



"Gee--it's easy to be 'beat'--- Cappachino tastes just like cocca!"



# HU. MOVING MEN SEAGLE

in the... work... as are... detail

convention, something some- and sig- the one level

being... at... inter-

the en-... brought it. first... vol-... trips

to... was... and... s

the... that... words

the... which... Tel-... the... the...

On the technical side, Steve Tolliver, with his usual ingenuity, has designed us a magnificent new camera consisting of a cigar box and a toilet paper tube. A 360-degree screen will be used which will surround and engulf the struggling audience to complete the womb-like effect which is so necessary to the realism of this fantasy world. Scents will be liberally used; Sauron's under-arm odor is expected to wipe out all but the more hardy members of the audience. In addition, weather effects will be created through the use of dry ice dropped from a small airplane scheduled to fly over the theater at opportune moments.

As for the theater itself, we plan to build a gigantic structure on the summit of Mount Kilimanjaro to which the spectators will be carried in sedan chairs. All people will see the movie in a foetal position and be fed intravenously, so as not to mar the escapist effect.

And as for the filming, it should take us about four days to film it all. We will use The Hobbit as a ten-minute prologue to The Lord of the Rings. The latter will take three hundred years to show with three reincarnations for the appendix alone. We will have five intermissions, during each of which the Watusi tribe of Africa has promised to do their famous fertility rites. Refreshments will be provided by the Salvation Army. After the complete performance, a 450-foot statue of J.R.R. Tolkien made of solid diamonds will be shot out of the ground on hydraulics, whereupon 6000 children from the underfed countries of the world will sing a chorus of "God Bless Our Happy Home." Immediately following this, special aeronautics will be provided by the combined Soviet and U.S. Air Forces (in peaceful competition) along with all the migratory birds of New Zealand. We have made plans for Christ to make a return appearance at this time and will welcome him with a ticker-tape parade, music supplied by the Tel-Aviv Marching Band (palm branches are out this year). Satan is also expected to show up and will arrive on a golden platter borne aloft by four thousand screaming Hindu Mendicants. After a welcoming speech by the Governor-General of Yucatan, an exhibition bout between the two will be staged for the benefit of homeless Hottentots. The sun will then go super-nova, and to cap our show off, the Diety will finally be forced to explain himself.

Sound good? We think so. Admittedly, we haven't got quite enough funds to see our project through yet, but we are confident that when once our plans are publicized every person in the world will give us \$400,000 apiece along with the sum total of their life-time income and all their valuable possessions.

We have carefully selected our cast of characters drawing on all the talents of the world to get the person most suited to play each individual part. We hope you will agree with our selections:

Frodo - Boris Karloff, known for his warmth and humanity  
 Sam - Steve Reeves  
 Pippin - Alfred Hitchcock  
 Merry - Buster Keaton  
 Saruman - Judas - for the first time in years, he will once more return to the stage as the master of invective and sneers, at his standard price of 30 pieces of silver  
 Gimli - Tab Hunter  
 Legolas - Lenny Bruce  
 Gollum - Norman Vincent Peale







And now, unbelievable as it may seem, the first steps toward our great goal (the conquest of the Known Universe) have been taken. Meanwhile, we are still trying to film The Lord of the Rings. Under the remarkable direction of a young German immigrant, Richard Wagner (who bears a striking resemblance to Paul Stanbery, a friend of ours who publishes fanzines), Head of Latex Productions ("We Always Stretch Our Point") we are committing The Hobbit to Edison Cylinders for radio performance, utilizing the vast resources of General Smirnoff and his Radio Corporation of Cuba staff. The fact that it will probably never be completed is relatively minor besides the fact that we are able so effectively to rationalize.

The first chapter alone takes up over 34,000 cylinders, which, by the way, run for half a minute each. General Smirnoff has tried to convince the young Edison (who bears a striking resemblance to Paul Stanbery) that we are in desperate need of an extended play cylinder, but to no effect, as Edison considers it too radical a scheme.

Richard Wagner plays Gandalf (Wagner is obviously a genius, but needs a lot of developing) and a young Los Angeles mathematician, Al Einstein (who bears a striking resemblance to Paul Stanbery), plays Bilbo.

The hardest part of recording was the sound effects utilized, such as dwarves eating (recorded at the last Playboy Jazz Festival), and the sound of crashing tanks exploding during the Battle of the Bulge (for the sound of Dwarfs being eaten.)

Although we have not finished the second chapter of The Hobbit, and have apparently failed, the fact that we are still able to rationalize does offer some hope.

Meanwhile, our plans for a Tolkien Society are beginning to bear fruit. The first issue of our official organ, WORMTONGUE, will be published in a few months. F.M. Busby, noted throughout fandom for his singular sanity, has objected to our use of The Omnipotent Overseers of the Quest for Projects of Unsurpassable Stupidity as a title. Bruce Pelz has admirably defended this, pointing out that we all are immature neurotics, and has promised to him Busby the next time he sees him. He made some additional points, but the main point is that this is The Omnipotent Overseers of the Quest for Projects of Unsurpassable Stupidity, and as such will stay. For we do overlook, and we are unsurpassably stupid; what other name so well covers the subject?

Then Dick Schultz (who bears a striking resemblance to his father) wrote, saying that the requirement of 18 rejection slips would discourage many fan. That, Dick, is exactly the idea. We intend to discourage fan, who, like Busby, have any measure of sanity or success, or lack out ability to rationalize effectively. Seventeen rejection slips will not do -- it is an indication of success -- we must have at least 18. Anyone who reads The Fellowship of the Ring in less than four days is also considered unworthy of membership.

Whatever original manuscripts are sent to us do not even have to be suitable for publication; they may contain obscene terms and scatalogical references, but chances are they will end up in WORMTONGUE.

It is becoming increasingly apparent that our ultimate goal of conquering the Universe must inevitably be realized someday. I only hope when it comes that I will be able to rationalize effectively.

---- Bill Meyers & Ken Seagle

Pressing onward, the next thing up for eyetracking is by the first half of the team that wrote the above. Meyers turns serious momentarily to present an:



In other words, it could be done; it's not an impossibility -- I'm afraid Johnstone's idea is.

The suggested background music stands conspicuously as a revealing testament to someone's atrocious musical taste. A haphazard potpourri of musical excerpts could have been a far more nerve-wracking effect than the cheap background music utilized by the Hollywood that Johnstone is trying to break away from. Johnstone says "...it is apparent that most of the music for the final production will have to be original." It is apparent that it will all have to be original; it will have to be a complex structure of interwoven melodies of such artistic greatness that to justify completely all the moods of Tolkien's world such composers as Wagner, Debussy, and Schoenberg at their peaks would pall in comparison. And Johnstone plans to commission Igor Stravinsky... It is true that such a work could only be conceived in the mind of one genius; and I'm afraid, with all due respect to Paul Stanbery, that genius doesn't exist.

At any rate, even if a random collation of mood music were to be selected, the list Fields contributes is impossibly stupid. He has a knack for picking out the worst item of a composer's lifetime output. I am very much surprised that he failed to choose for the Battle of Pelennor Fields Beethoven's "Wellington's Victory." It seems so apropos in regard to the rest of the music. Out of all Wagner's vast output, Fields picks "Forest Murmurs," a rickety framework of schmaltzy tunes glued together by Humperdinck that happened to strike his tin ear. Fields finds no place for Alfred Newman's Crucifixion Scene from "The Robe", definitely the peak of Newman's career, but comes up with the Rescue of Demetrius, one of the poorest bits in the movie. Fields could do better by whirling around blindfolded in front of his records and jabbing his finger at random.



It would be easy to take this whole fiasco point by point, but that is not my initial purpose (besides, I have only half a ream of paper). What I am trying to get over is that J.R.R. Tolkien is Great — and I use Great in the "immortal" sense of the word, not as a synonym for "good" or "truefine" — he is perhaps the greatest writer of the Twentieth Century. To make a motion picture completely justifying Tolkien's books, it would take people equally as Great in film-making as Tolkien is in writing. I'm afraid no one in Johnstone's crew fills the bill. I'm not sure there is anyone in the world who could. But until someone pops up who does, let's not let



Bill  
Greenleaf

... really e-  
... did not  
... Fen  
... list.

... on  
... of  
... address  
... not

... if  
... and

... had  
... and  
... if  
... No

... I  
... returned  
... all

... I had  
... could  
... mob  
... sudden-

... a non-  
... his  
... being  
... could  
... and

... I  
... for  
... and  
... and

... I doubt  
... and  
... any  
... of

... have any questions?

--Bill Greenleaf, 1960

... not to interfere, but to fence ... Jean Hagulesco

Science, despite assurances by blurb-writers to the contrary, has really not caught up with science fiction. The pathetic and perhaps fatal fact is that science fiction has not yet caught up with science. And perhaps it never will. It's obvious that modern science fiction can display very little serious effort to instill solid scientific content into its offerings. This is nothing new; one of the classical arguments against the genre is that it misguides easily-impressed youth with official-sounding pseudo-science.

In the past five years, however, stf has replaced even pseudo-science with a new brand of homogenized, ectoplasmic science that's so hazy and non-committal that its author could be trying to prove almost anything and be



ATOM

"It's a Christmas card from you know who. It says 'Drop dead and take your fanzine with you'."

# REVERBERATIONS

correct. Too lazy to research their science and too wary to fake it, today's stf authors too often steer a dull middle course by avoiding it. Thus we have the "space opera": certain things are presupposed--faster-than-light drive, time travel, what have you--and then injected into the story with no plausible explanation of their workings.

Now, it is dangerous enough to presuppose inventions or attitudes in mainstream fiction, where the nineteen-sixties have seen a growing trend to assume or presuppose that mass consumption is bad, that the "man in the grey flannel suit" is ipso facto unhappy, or that Mary's unhappy love affair with John can all be explained by Freud. But it is disastrous to "assume" similar things in stf, because even the carefully thought-out fictional antecedents of such suppositions have no existence outside their author's imaginations. This leads to sloppy plotting and story construction, not "mid-stretching" or "brilliant flights of the imagination," as some would have it. Asimov was brilliant when he devised his Three Laws of Robotics; John Doe is dull when he assumes that all robots must therefore act that way. Doe is also cheating unless he mentions Asimov's carefully thought-out theses, as some authors have done.

Authors like Hal Clement, usually Asimov, Arthur Clarke and perhaps half a dozen more, represent the hard core of "thinkers" who seem to be furnishing possibilities for endless rehashing by the "supposers" of today's stf market. Clement has written only a handful of stf, by comparative standards, and yet its content is so solid and sound and imaginative that dozens of stories have been worked out of it by other authors. Other authors, like Shirley Jackson, Zenna Henderson, and sometimes Wilson Tucker or Jack Finney or Theodore Sturgeon, are concentrating primarily on the characters and situations, rather than the scientific means, and so less frequently are guilty of scientific slipshoddedness. (It's worth mentioning here that most of the stories in this last group are often set in the present or near future, and really don't require careful scientific extrapolation.)

The first part of the book is devoted to a general survey of the history of the world, from the beginning of time to the present day. The author discusses the various civilizations that have flourished on the earth, and the progress of human knowledge and art. He also touches upon the political and social changes that have shaped the world as we know it today.

The second part of the book is a detailed account of the life of the author, from his childhood to his old age. He describes the various adventures and experiences that have shaped his character and his work. He also discusses the influence of his family and friends on his life, and the role of his education in his development.

The third part of the book is a collection of the author's most important works, including his novels, plays, and essays. Each work is accompanied by a brief introduction and a critical analysis. The author also discusses the reception of his works by the public and the critics, and the impact of his writing on the world.

The fourth part of the book is a series of letters and speeches that the author has written over the course of his life. These documents provide a unique insight into his thoughts and feelings on a wide range of subjects, from politics and religion to art and science. They also show the author's ability to communicate effectively and persuasively.

The fifth part of the book is a collection of the author's most famous quotations and sayings. These words have become a part of the cultural heritage of many people, and they continue to inspire and guide us today. The author's wisdom and insight are clearly evident in these words.

The sixth part of the book is a series of interviews and conversations that the author has had with other prominent figures of his time. These discussions provide a fascinating look at the author's relationships with his contemporaries, and the intellectual and cultural milieu in which he lived and worked.

The seventh part of the book is a collection of the author's most recent works, including his last novel and his final essays. These works show the author's continued vitality and creativity, and his ability to address the most pressing issues of his time.

The eighth part of the book is a series of notes and appendices that provide additional information about the author and his works. This includes a list of the author's books and plays, a list of his correspondents, and a list of the sources used in the book. It also includes a list of the author's awards and honors, and a list of the people who have influenced him.

chapter one  
Introduction  
chapter two  
Vocabulary  
chapter three  
Index

chapter four  
Part one  
chapter five  
Part two  
chapter six  
Part three

CHAPTER ONE 191, 192, 193



1  
 2  
 3  
 4  
 5  
 6  
 7  
 8  
 9  
 10  
 11  
 12  
 13  
 14  
 15



"DON'T 'CHRISH-MAS CHEER' ME, OSHIFER!  
 I TELL YOU THAT SQUIRREL WAS  
 AT LEAST SIX FEET TALL!"


(mirrored bleed-through text from the reverse side of the page)

contents

Sans Itinéraire Fixé . . . . . Bob Lichtman 2  
 Our Very Own Gaurtuary Rhyme . . . . . Calvin W. Deamon 5  
 The Most Colossal Epic Of All Time . . . . . Bill Meyers & Ken Seagle 8  
 Inquiry Into A Farce (A Postface) . . . . . Bill Meyers 12  
 "Who Are You? What Do You Want?" . . . . . Emile Greenleaf 14  
 Reverberations (book reviews) . . . . . Rog Ebert 15

Artwork: Afon (15), Bjo (cover, 7, 13, 17), Burbee (4), Nirenberg (3),  
 Rotales (2, 6, 9, 18), and Simpson (5)

PSI-PHI #7, December 1960: Published irregularly by Bob Lichtman, 6137 South  
 Croft Avenue, Los Angeles 56, California. Copies  
 of this issue only available for 10¢ each—further issues will set the pur-  
 chaser back 25¢ apiece (issues are normally at least twice as large as this  
 one). Our Sterling Agent is Ethel Lindsay, Courage House, 6 Langley Avenue,  
 Burbiton, Surrey, England, who will accept subs for this issue at 9d each, for  
 further issues at 1/9 per. Free for all-for-all trades, contributions of art-  
 work and written text, worthwhile and interesting letters of comment, woldy  
 rare old fanzines, and various other indeterminate things. This fanzine is  
 a Silverdrum Publication, the 27th in an interminable series of the same.



PRINTED MATTER ONLY  
 RETURN POSTAGE GUAR.  
 FORM 3547 REQUESTED

FROM:  
 Bob Lichtman  
 6137 S Croft Ave  
 Los Angeles 56  
 California USA

Bruce Pelz  
 2790 W. 8th St  
 Los Angeles 5  
 Calif.



YOU RECEIVED THIS ISSUE BECAUSE:

- ( ) You paid for it--you are a sub-  
 scriber with \_\_\_ pages (under the  
 old sub system) or \_\_\_ issues left  
 on your sub. (If it's less than  
 50 pages on the old system, send  
 appropriate funds to bring your  
 credit up to 25¢ (50pp) or you  
 won't receive #8.)
- ( ) You contributed to this issue;  
 please do it again.
- (X) We trade (you send me all of  
 yours and I'll reciprocate, okay?)
- ( ) Other:

SOME OF THE BEST FROM  
 WILLY WANDRY

A collection I prepared for the Dec-  
 1960 mailing. There are still  
 a handful of spares. This is a 20-  
 page collection with material by Hoff-  
 man, Willis, Burbee, Laney, Bloch,  
 Tucker, MacAuley, Silverberg and Ol-  
 iver. First come, first served on  
 the few remaining copies. 15¢ mailed  
 folded, 20¢ mailed flat in envelope.  
 Money to the editor of this magazine.